

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

LUPE LANDIN, JR. and EDUARDO
MURILLO,

Plaintiffs,

v.

COMCAST CORPORATION and
COMCAST OF CONTRA COSTA, INC.,

Defendants.

No. 1:15-cv-01416-TLN-SKO

NOTICE OF RELATED CASE ORDER

CORY BARRETT HALL,

Plaintiff,

v.

COMCAST CORPORATION and
COMCAST OF CONTRA COSTA, INC.,

Defendants.

No. 1:15-cv-01484-TLN-SAB

FRANCISCO FLORES and ANTHONY
BUSTOS-CABRERA,

Plaintiffs,

v.

COMCAST CORPORATION and
COMCAST OF CONTRA COSTA, INC.,

Defendants.

No. 1:15-cv-01521-DAD-SKO

1 JOSEPH JOSHUA DAVIS, et al.

No. 1:15-cv-01544-DAD-SAB

2 Plaintiffs,

3 v.

4 COMCAST CORPORATION and
5 COMCAST OF CONTRA COSTA, INC.,
6 Defendants.

7 -----
8 JAMES K. GRIMES and CARLOS
9 RAMOS,

No. 1:15-cv-01596-KJM-BAM

10 Plaintiffs,

11 v.

12 COMCAST CORPORATION and
13 COMCAST OF CONTRA COSTA, INC.,
14 Defendants.

15 STEPHANIE MCBRIDE, et al.,

No. 2:15-cv-01965 KJN-EFB

16 Plaintiffs,

17 v.

18 COMCAST CORPORATION and
19 COMCAST OF CONTRA COSTA, INC.,
20 Defendants.

21 LAWRENCE ELKINS, et al.,

No. 2:15-cv-02075-TLN-CMK

22 Plaintiffs,

23 v.

24 COMCAST CORPORATION and
25 COMCAST OF CONTRA COSTA, INC.,
26 Defendants.

27 HERNAN PAEZ, et al.,

No. 2:15-cv-02149-KJM-AC

28 Plaintiffs,

v.

COMCAST CORPORATION and
COMCAST OF CONTRA COSTA, INC.,

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Defendants.

KRIS COOK, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

COMCAST CORPORATION and
COMCAST OF CONTRA COSTA, INC.,
Defendants.

No. 2:15-cv-02152-TLN-KJN

KEVIN HUFFMAN, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

COMCAST CORPORATION and
COMCAST OF CONTRA COSTA, INC.,
Defendants.

No. 2:15-cv-02186-WBS-KJN


Examination of the above-entitled actions reveals that they are related within the meaning of Local Rule 123(a) (E.D. Cal. 1997). The actions involve the same parties, are based on the same claims, the same event, the same questions of fact and the same questions of law, and would therefore entail a substantial duplication of labor if heard by different judges. Accordingly, the assignment of the matters to the same judge is likely to affect a substantial savings of judicial effort and is also likely to be convenient for the parties.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the actions denominated 1:15-cv-01484-TLN-SAB, 1:15-cv-01521-DAD-SKO, 1:15-cv-1544-DAD-SAB, 1:15-cv-1596-KJM-BAM, 2:15-cv-1965-KJM-EFB, 2:15-cv-2075-TLN-CMK, 2:15-cv-2149-KJM-AC, 2:15-cv-2152-TLN-KJN, and 2:15-cv-2186-WBS-KJN are hereby reassigned to District Judge Troy L. Nunley and Magistrate Judge Sheila K. Oberto for all further proceedings. Any dates currently set in the reassigned cases are hereby VACATED. Henceforth, the captions on documents filed in the reassigned cases shall be shown as: 1:15-cv-01484-TLN-SKO, 1:15-cv-01521-TLN-SKO, 1:15-cv-1544-TLN-SKO, 1:15-cv-1596-TLN-SKO, 2:15-cv-1965-TLN-SKO,

1 2:15-cv-2075-TLN-SKO, 2:15-cv-2149-TLN-SKO, 2:15-cv-2152-TLN-SKO, and 2:15-cv-2186-
2 TLN-SKO.

3 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of Court make appropriate adjustment
4 in the assignment of civil cases to compensate for this reassignment and issue.

5
6 Dated: January 15, 2016

7
8 
9 _____
10 Troy L. Nunley
11 United States District Judge
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28